Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/816,688	HIGH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian Whiteman	1635	

	Brian Whiteman	1635		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addi	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 11 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sloset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>11 November 2009</u>. A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), of appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disn	nissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett _ appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .		be entered and an ex	planation of	
Claim(s) allowed. <u>None.</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>None.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,13,14,17-32,34,35,41,64,65,68-80 a</u>	<u>nd 82-86</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	to provide a	
10.	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowand	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{13. ☐ Other: Note the attached PTO-892 (Notice of Reference label)				
	/Brian Whiteman/			
	Primary Examiner, Ar	t Unit 1635		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: An interference should be declared between the instant claims and those in the application corresponding to the Scaria publication which has now been issued as a US Patent 7,615,537. In response to applicant's argument without any evidence from the cited prior art, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated by stability in a cell to modify the cited references in the manner as suggested by the Office action, the argument is not found persuasive because the claims are directed to a product not a method of using the product. Thus, prior art teaching a product with the same structure as the stucture of the claimed product would have the same function as the claimed product. Furthermore, PACE/furin proteolytic cleavage sites are known to one of ordinary skill in the art for increasing stability of a protein that does not require protease for PACE/furin.